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Abstract
This paper examines the impact of air pollution spillover from China to South Korea, its immediate Eastern neighbor. Separating international pollution spillover from locally generated pollution is difficult as the source and the affected countries may share similar business- and pollution cycles. To overcome the challenge, we propose a strategy that exploits within-South Korea and over-time variations in the incidence of Asian dust—a meteorological phenomenon exogenous to district-time cells in South Korea—together with temporal variations in China’s air quality. We find that conditional on being exposed to Asian dust, increased pollution in China leads to increased mortality from respiratory and cardiovascular diseases in South Korean districts, with the most vulnerable being the elderly and children under five.
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1. Introduction

Economic growth, while bringing huge benefits, also has undesirable by-products such as pollution and environmental degradation. China is a prime example: its dramatic economic development was accompanied by severe air pollution that became a major threat to the health of millions of Chinese citizens (see e.g., Chen et al. 2013; Zheng and Kahn 2013; Ebenstein et al. 2015; Tanaka 2015). Air pollution in China, however, is not only a domestic issue but a highly charged political economy issue in East Asia and globally. In a recent scientific study, Lin et al. (2014) simulated, based on data from 2006, that increased air pollution in China significantly elevates sulfate concentrations in the western U.S. This suggests that the spillover impacts on nearby countries such as South Korea and Japan are likely greater than for the U.S. Not surprisingly, China’s air pollution is often blamed for the bad air quality in Seoul or Tokyo (see e.g., Fackler 2013 and Slatter 2013). Despite frequent public outcry in South Korea and Japan about the harmful effects of pollution spillover from China, as yet, there is no direct causal evidence. This paper therefore aims at providing evidence on the mortality impacts of Chinese pollution in South Korea, China’s immediate Eastern neighbor.

To establish a causal link between China’s pollution and harmful effects in South Korea is not straightforward. The difficulty arises from the fact that in this region it is not just China who pollutes but also Japan (another neighbor) and South Korea itself. Moreover, emission cycles of China and South Korea may be correlated.\(^1\) Therefore, just because the observed or measured air quality (i.e., pollution concentration) in Seoul or Tokyo increases in periods when China is more polluted does not mean that the pollution must have originated from China. One empirical strategy—used when studying the diffusion of pollution within
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\(^1\) In Figure 1, we plot the emission quantities of China, South Korea, and Japan for major pollutants for 1970-2008.
relatively small local areas (e.g., Schlenker and Walker 2015)—is to use wind directions as an identification device. One difficulty, however, is that over larger geographic areas as in our case (i.e., between China and South Korea) winds are not precisely traceable. In particular, tracing winds from the vast area of China to a specific district within South Korea is difficult and such data do not exist. Hence, when it comes to wind data, we are at best left with crude time variation (i.e., winds blowing over China), which makes it difficult to isolate China-to-South Korea pollution spillover from seasonal domestic shocks.

In this paper, we propose an alternative strategy, based on the phenomenon of Asian dust (also known as yellow dust or yellow sand), a meteorological event in which yellow dust clouds passing over China are carried eastward to South Korea by strong and stable westerly winds (see Duce et al., 1980; Chun et al., 2001; and Bishop et al., 2002 for details). Asian dust originates in the deserts of Mongolia, northern China, and Kazakhstan. Intense dust storms in the source regions, facilitated by high surface winds and low humidity, raise dense clouds of fine, dry soil particles, which are then carried eastward by the prevailing westerlies across China, Korea, Japan, and even the United States. First documented in 174 AD, the dust phenomena have a long history in Korea (Chun et al., 2008). Before the industrialization of China, the occurrence of Asian dust in South Korea merely signified strong westerly winds that happen to be visually salient (because of the yellow sand/dust particles blown in them). In recent decades, however, Asian dust has become an increasing public concern as scientific studies such as Choi et al., (2001) and Li et al. (2012) suggest that Asian dust brings with it China’s man-made pollution as well as its by-products.²

Our identification strategy is motivated by three primary features of the Asian dust occurrence. First, Asian dust has a clear directional aspect in that the wind underlying it blows from west (China) to east (South Korea), meaning that it can transport Chinese

² See reports including “China’s Killer Yellow Dust Hits Korea, Japan” at Reuters (March 3, 2008) and “Worries in the Path of China’s Air” at the New York Times (December 25, 2013) for example.
pollutants to Korea but not vice versa. Second, Asian dust is exogenous to South Korea’s local activities. In particular, wind patterns and topography generate rich spatial and temporal variation in the incidence of Asian dust within South Korea and over time, for reasons unrelated to district-time specific local activities. Third, the occurrence of Asian dust—because of its visual salience—is monitored and recorded station by station in South Korea.³ Such monitoring makes Asian dust a useful apparatus for determining which districts within South Korea are under the influence of China’s pollution. In contrast, based on regular winds, such quantitative information on district-specific exposure to China’s pollution is difficult to obtain, as variations in wind speeds and directions are highly volatile.⁴

In particular, to isolate measurable variations in South Korea’s air quality that can be attributed to China, we exploit within-South Korea and over-time variations in the incidence of Asian dust together with temporal variations in China’s air quality (measured by Air Quality Index in 120 Chinese cities) for 2000-2011. Specifically, we interact the district-specific monthly incidence of Asian dust in South Korea with the intensity of China’s air pollution and examine its effects on cause-specific monthly mortality in 232 South Korean districts, while conditioning on the direct effects of Asian Dust and China’s pollution, respectively.

We find that conditioning on the direct effects of Asian dust and China’s pollution (along with a rich set of district- and time-level controls including weather), their interaction effect on South Korean mortality is significantly positive, in particular for deaths from respiratory and cardiovascular diseases (hereafter, respiratory and cardiovascular deaths). Specifically, our estimate shows that at the mean incidence of Asian dust (about one day per

³ Appendix Figure A1 presents a satellite image of Asian dust leaving China for Korea and Japan. When Asian dust arrives in an area, it is visible in the air.
⁴ As opposed to our case, which deals with the identification of long-range pollution spillover from a large country (i.e., China) to a small country (i.e., South Korea), exploiting wind data can be more fruitful for research questions that address highly localized effects of pollution. See, e.g., Anderson (2015)’s work on the mortality effect of sustained downwind exposure from highways in the Los Angeles Basin.
month), if China’s average AQI increases by 12 (one standard deviation in the sample), respiratory and cardiovascular mortality rates in South Korea increase by 0.040 per 100,000, around 0.33% of the monthly mean. In contrast, we find no evidence for increased mortality due to cancer or accidents. Looking at age group specific effects, we find that the mortality effects are largely concentrated on children under five and the elderly, suggesting that these demographic groups are particularly vulnerable to Asian dust-induced Chinese pollution.

We next examine possible mechanisms for these outcomes. One natural explanation is that Asian dust and China’s pollution elevate the pollution concentration in South Korea beyond what it would have been in the absence of spillovers from China. Although a variety of toxic materials can be carried via Asian dust (see the discussion in Section 2.1), we are limited to examining the impact on the common pollutants routinely measured by governments. We find that the interaction of Asian dust and China’s pollution indeed raises the concentration of the major pollutants such as sulfur dioxide ($SO_2$) and nitrogen dioxide ($NO_2$).

One contribution of this paper is to provide concrete evidence on the mortality impacts of China’s air pollution on South Korea, for which to date little causal evidence exists. While our paper focuses on air pollution spillover from China to South Korea, possible harmful effects of transnational pollution spillover have been documented in other contexts previously. For instance, Almond et al. (2009) show that in-utero exposure to Chernobyl nuclear disaster in 1986 had adverse effects on Swedish children’s test scores. Moreover, Black et al. (2013) show in the context of Norway that in-utero exposure to radiation—due to nuclear weapon testing across the world in the 1950s and 1960s—had long-term consequences in terms of human capital and labor market outcomes. In the absence of
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5 In contrast, for within-China domestic spillovers, there exists work such as Li et al. (2014) and Zheng et al. (2014). Also, in the context of within-U.S. domestic spillovers, where east coast states disproportionately bear the burden of mid-west coal burning, there is abundant evidence, which has played an important role for environmental policy design in the U.S. (e.g., $NO_X$ Budget Trading Program). For a recent work on within-U.S. air pollution externality, see e.g., Holland et al. (2016).
such exogenous shocks, we focus instead on temporal variations in China’s ambient air quality (which reflects China’s industrial production and environmental policies) together with within-South Korea and over-time variation in the incidence of Asian dust. As an outcome, we focus on mortality, not because we think this is the only important outcome but because mortality data are consistently available for the entire South Korea for the twelve year period we study.\textsuperscript{6}

There exists an extensive literature on the effect of measured local pollution on local outcomes.\textsuperscript{7} Our study is particularly related to Arceo et al. (2016), who use a meteorological phenomenon of thermal inversions to instrument for pollution level in Mexico. The focus there is the causal impact of local pollution on local outcomes (infant mortality in specific) in Mexico City, where thermal inversions are used as an instrument for measured concentration of $PM_{10}$ and $CO$. In our case, our primary interest is on the spillover effect of China’s pollution on South Korea mediated by a variety of pollutants (including those we cannot measure), rather than the dose-response relationship for a particular pollutant. Thus, the reduced-form estimate of Asian dust-Chinese pollution interaction on South Korean mortality is our main interest.

In addition, our study is related to recent work by Schlenker and Walker (2015), which uses the day-to-day variation in airplane taxi time in California airports to induce exogenous variation at the point source of pollution, and links that to morbidity outcomes in local areas (within 10 KM from each airport). In contrast, we are dealing with the issue of long-range spillover between countries, where the source country is very large with numerous point sources of pollution (with the point-specific quantities of emission unknown to the researchers). Diffusion models that focus on specific point sources of pollution and relatively

\textsuperscript{6} Hanna and Oliva (2015), for instance, show in the context of Mexico that lowered levels of $SO_2$ (due to the closure of a large refinery) lead to increased labor supply. In this respect, mortality impact we focus on may be a small part of the larger cost of pollution spillover.

\textsuperscript{7} See Zivin and Neidell (2013) for a survey of this line of research.
small local areas such as in Schlenker and Walker (2015) are not suitable for our context. We instead exploit variation at the receiving end of the pollution spillover based on the incidence of Asian dust across district-time cells in South Korea. This approach thus addresses the major empirical challenge posed by correlated pollution cycles between two countries, where the source country is significantly larger than the affected country in area size.

Lastly, this paper is also related to the public health literature on the health impacts—typically, daily mortality or hospital admissions—of Asian dust per se, where the incidence of Asian dust itself is viewed as a shock (see e.g., Kwon et al. 2002; Lee et al. 2007; Chan and Ng 2011; Kashima et al. 2012; Wilson et al., 2012a, 2012b; Lee et al., 2013, 2014; Baek et al., 2015). It is worthwhile clarifying that our focus is different from this line of research. We are interested in the effect of China’s air pollution on South Korea rather than that of Asian dust itself. Since Asian dust is a meteorological phenomenon (which is not caused by China), any direct effects found of Asian dust cannot be attributed to China. Hence, our approach focuses on the interaction between China’s pollution and the Asian dust phenomenon and identifies the effects of China’s pollution operating via Asian dust.

The data used and our identification strategy are described in Sections 2 and 3, respectively, after which Section 4 reports the main empirical results and robustness checks. Section 5 provides some concluding comments.

2. Background and Data

As background to our analysis, we first provide a brief description of the Asian dust phenomenon. Our primary dataset consists of information on the incidence of Asian dust, the number of deaths by cause, and pollution levels in both South Korea and China. Our baseline analysis focuses on monthly variation across all 232 Korean districts between 2000 and 2011.
An average South Korean district is 432 square kilometers in size with a population of about 210,000. Summary statistics of the variables discussed below are presented in Table 1.

2.1 Asian Dust and Wind Patterns

*Asian dust as a carrier of pollutants*

Scientific studies have documented that China’s pollution can affect South Korea during Asian dust periods in two ways. First, the dust particles and the strong winds underlying the Asian dust phenomenon can directly transport pollutants. For instance, Park et al. (2003) and Lee et al. (2007) document that in South Korea, the levels of major pollutants, such as $PM_{10}$, $SO_2$ and $NO_2$, are significantly elevated during the Asian dust periods. Besides these pollutants, which governments routinely monitor, increased levels of elements such as $Ni, Cu, Zn, Cd$ and $Pb$ are also found in South Korea during the dust events. As Choi et al. (2001) explain, since dust particles (without picking up any man-made pollutants before reaching Korea) should consist primarily of crustal elements such as $Na, Mg, Al, Ca, Fe$ and $Mn$, the observed increase in non-crustal elements (e.g., $Ni, Cu, Zn, Cd$ and $Pb$) reveals that the Asian dust must have picked up pollutants from the industrialized regions of China.

Second, in traveling long distances, dust particles can also react with (Chinese) pollutants and generate other compounds that may have adverse effects on health (Choi et al., 2001; Li et al., 2012). In particular, Nishikawa et al. (1991), Carmichael et al. (1996), and Mori et al. (2003), based on aerosol samples taken in Korea and Japan, respectively, show that during the long-range transport of the wind-blown dust particles, significant amounts of sulphates and nitrates are introduced through reaction with $SO_X$ and $NO_X$ gases.

By focusing on the interaction between China’s pollution and the Asian dust phenomenon, we aim to isolate the effect of pollution spillover that operates via the two pathways discussed above. In our approach, Asian dust is viewed as a medium by which
China’s pollution can exercise influence on South Koreans, and our main objective is to identify the reduced-form effect of the Asian dust-China pollution interaction on cause-specific mortality in South Korea. While the identification of pollution spillover on each and every possible pollutant and toxic element is beyond the scope of this study, we examine the effect on the common pollutants such as $SO_2$ and $NO_2$ that governments routinely measure.

**Incidence of Asian dust**

The data on the incidence of Asian dust come from the Korean Meteorological Administration (KMA), which compiled incidence records for the 2000-2011 period from 28 stations across South Korea (designated by stars in panel (a) of Figure 2). To designate an Asian dust day, the KMA first verifies that dust storms have occurred in the desert regions of Mongolia and China and then uses weather maps and satellite images to track their movements toward and across Korea. The KMA confirms the storms’ presence through visual observation and issues a dust storm warning when necessary (Lee et al., 2013). The main component of aerosols during the Asian dust events are dust particles ranging in size from one micrometer and ten micrometers, i.e., between the size of $PM_{1}$ and that of $PM_{10}$ (Chun et al., 2001). When Asian dust occurs, it is visible in the air. Our analysis covers 232 South Korean districts, each assigned Asian dust records from the nearest station, based on distance from the district centroid.

**Variation in Asian dust**

As panel (b) of Figure 2 illustrates, districts in South Korea vary greatly in topography. The wind patterns and topography of South Korea generate rich spatial and temporal variations in
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8 The KMA issues dust storm warnings based on $PM_{10}$ concentrations: severe dust storms have over 400 $\mu g/m^3$ and more-severe dust storms over 800 $\mu g/m^3$ for two continuous hours in a day. The warning can lead to some avoidance behavior (Baek et al., 2015). Our estimate should be thought of as the net effect on mortality after taking into account possible reduction in mortality due to such avoidance behavior.
the incidence of Asian dust. As an example, Figure 3, which maps the incidence of Asian Dust in March across three years, illustrates that the overall frequency of dust events varies significantly across years, even for the same month. Moreover, there are rich spatial variations within years. For instance, in March 2000, the western regions experienced more than five Asian dust days, whereas the eastern regions experienced around three. In March 2010, with stronger winds, the pattern was the opposite. In March 2003, all the regions were affected evenly, with an average region having one Asian dust day.

Asian dust storms also show strong (within-year) seasonality based on seasonal meteorological conditions. Panel (a) of Figure 4 illustrates this seasonality by displaying the mean number of Asian dust days per month in our district-monthly data. Because of the humidity associated with the monsoon season, Asian dust never occurs in summer (June through August); thus, these months are omitted from the figure. Dust events are most frequent in spring (March through May), and the occurrence outside spring is less frequent.

Asian dust and wind patterns

To illustrate the relation between Asian dust and wind patterns, we draw on data from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) of the U.S. Department of Commerce, which cover information on daily wind speed and direction collected by 499 stations located across China. Wind speed is reported in m/s and wind direction in cardinal directions, where a wind blowing from the south, for example, is given as 180 degrees (for a wind directional map and an example of winds on an Asian dust day, see Appendix Figure A2).[^9]

[^9]: We aggregate these daily observations from the 499 stations up to a measure that can approximate the daily wind pattern over China as a whole. Specifically, if the mean of station-daily data on wind direction is between 180 and 360 degrees, we treat the prevailing wind pattern over China on that day as being westerly. Similarly, we approximate the daily wind speed over China by taking the mean of station-daily data on wind speed.
We plot the distributions of daily wind speed and wind direction over China in the presence and absence of Asian dust in Figure 5. Two patterns are apparent: (i) wind speed is significantly higher on dust days: the mean speed on dust days is 6.2 m/s, above the 75th percentile (5.8) on the overall wind speed distribution; (ii) the wind direction over China is almost always from west to east on Asian dust days (i.e., above 180 degrees), confirming that westerly winds are necessary for Asian dust.

2.2 Mortality in South Korea

The impacts of pollution on mortality are well documented, in particular, the sensitivity to air pollution of those with respiratory and cardiovascular diseases (see e.g., Dockery et al., 1993; Samet et al., 2000; Zanobetti et al., 2003; and Dominici et al., 2006). Following the medical literature, our analysis focuses on respiratory and cardiovascular mortality as the key outcome of interest.

Our individual-level data on cause-specific mortality, compiled by Statistics Korea, show all deaths in South Korea on all days between 2000 and 2011. This information covers the date, place, and cause of deaths classified according to the World Health Organization’s 10th revision of the International Classification of Diseases (ICD-10) as well as selective information on such characteristics as age and gender. Using these individual-level data, we construct a district-month level dataset on deaths by major causes, with a focus on respiratory (ICD-10 codes J00-J99) and cardiovascular deaths (ICD-10 codes I00-I52). As a placebo test, we also explore deaths from cancers (ICD-10 codes C00-C97) and accidents (ICD-10 codes V01-X59), which are unlikely to be affected in the short run by China’s pollution. In part of our analysis, we consider differential impacts of Asian dust-induced Chinese pollution by subgroups of South Koreans. Specifically, we examine possible heterogeneity by age groups.
To obtain mortality rates, we denominate the count of deaths by the district-age level population. The district-age level population data come from South Korean censuses 2000, 2005, and 2010. For population of intercensal years, we use linear interpolation. The mortality rate is expressed as deaths per 100,000 persons. As might be expected, deaths also exhibit seasonality, illustrated by the monthly distributions of respiratory and cardiovascular mortality plotted in panel (c) of Figure 4. In our analysis, we always account for seasonality through the inclusion of month fixed effects.

2.3 Air Pollution in China

For pollution values, we draw on daily information on air pollution in 120 cities across China (provided by the Ministry of Environmental Protection of China). The reported pollution information is based on the Air Quality Index (AQI), which runs from 0 to 500. The interpretation of the AQI is such that the higher the value, the greater the air pollution and concern for health.

As our baseline measure of China’s influence, we use the monthly mean of the city-day level observations of AQI. The mean and median of monthly AQI between June 2000 and December 2011 are 73.7 and 71.5 respectively, with the standard deviation of 12.3. Panel (b) of Figure 4 plots our baseline measure of China’s pollution across different months, illustrating that China is more polluting in winter and spring, consistent with increased wintertime pollution from coal heating (Chen et al., 2013). For robustness, we also consider alternative ways of measuring China’s influence: (i) weight AQI by distance to South Korea; (ii) use AQI from provincial capitals only; and (iii) count the share of “polluted” cities with AQI above the sample mean. In addition, we also allow for possible nonlinear effects of China’s pollution on South Korea by including higher order polynomials of China’s pollution.
One possible concern is whether the AQI reported by the Chinese government is indeed informative about the true pollution condition in China. To understand whether the AQI captures meaningful variations in China’s air quality, we examine the correlation between China’s industrial production and our baseline pollution measure. The data on monthly production are very limited. We draw on the best available—Statistical Bureau of China’s figures on year-on-year growth in industrial value-added available between 2000 and 2006. We then calculate the year-on-year change in our baseline measure of China’s pollution and examine its correlation with the year-on-year growth in industrial production. As Appendix Figure A3 shows, these two measures are significantly correlated (with slope coefficient of 0.56 and standard error of 0.01), suggesting that our measure based on the AQI does capture meaningful variations in China’s air quality.

2.4 Local Pollution, Production, and Weather in South Korea

The data on observed (i.e., measured) pollution in South Korea—which is the combination of South Korea’s locally generated pollution and possible pollution spillover from China—are available for 2001-2011 from the National Institute of Environmental Research (NIER), which provides hourly information on the density of five major pollutants ($PM_{10}, SO_2, NO_2, CO, \text{ and } O_3$) for 147 monitoring sites. Similar to Arceo et al. (2016), we use the hourly measures of pollution to calculate the maximum daily 24-hour average for $PM_{10}$ and average this over the month. For $SO_2, NO_2, CO, \text{ and } O_3$, we calculate the maximum daily 1-hour average and average that over the month. We assign the values from the nearest site to each district, based on the distance to the district centroid. The units of measurement are $\mu g/m$ for $PM_{10}$; $ppb$ for $SO_2, NO_2, \text{ and } O_3$; and $ppm$ for CO. Using this month-level information, we examine how the interaction of Asian dust and China’s pollution affects the concentration of different pollutants in a district-month.
We use two measures of local production in South Korea. First, we use data on district-monthly export from South Korea to China and to the world for 2000 to 2011, which come from the South Korea Customs Service. Since export accounts for 30-55% of GDP in South Korea during this period, local export is a useful measure of local production. Second, we use the Statistics Korea data on local energy production, available at province-month level in terms of an index, which measures the relative production level across months for each province (using the 12-month average from 2010 for that province as the benchmark = 1).

Finally, to control for the impact of local climate conditions, we use data provided by the Korean Meteorological Administration on monthly averages of daily measures of mean, maximum and minimum temperature; mean precipitation; and mean and maximum wind speed for 59 weather stations.\(^{10}\) Districts are assigned the weather data from the nearest station, based on the distance from the district centroid.

### 3. Estimation Strategy

To identify the presence of pollution spillover from China to South Korea, we exploit the fact that the incidence of Asian dust varies within South Korea and over time for reasons unrelated to district-time specific local activities. In particular, we examine how a given dust incidence in a district might differentially affect mortality depending on whether China happens to be more (or less) polluted at that time.

Our unit of analysis is district-month. One month is a sufficiently wide window for mortality to react. If the window of analysis is too narrow (e.g., a day), we may largely pick up “harvesting effects” (Schwartz 2000), short term forward shifting of deaths of those who are on the verge of dying. On the other hand, if we make the window too wide (e.g., a year),

\(^{10}\) Of these 59 weather stations, 28 are operated by the national government and the rest by the regional governments. Asian dust data come from the 28 stations operated by the national government.
not enough variation is left in Asian dust to exploit and the variation in Asian dust will be
difficult to isolate from other annual fluctuations.

Our main specification hence estimates the impact of Chinese pollution on district-
level mortality that operates via Asian dust, conditional on the direct effects of Asian dust
and Chinese pollution, respectively:

\[
MR_{k,ym} = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1(D_{k,ym} \times CP_{ym}) + \gamma_2 D_{k,ym} + \gamma_3 CP_{ym}
+ \delta_1 x_{k,ym} + \delta_2 (D_{k,ym} \times x_{k,ym}) + \delta_3 W_{k,ym} + \phi_{k,y} + \psi_{p(k),m} + u_{k,ym},
\]

where \(MR_{k,ym}\) is the cause-specific mortality rates (deaths per 100,000) and \(D_{k,ym}\) the
number of Asian dust days in South Korean district \(k\) in year \(y\) and month \(m\). The variable
\(CP_{ym}\) measures pollution in China in year \(y\) and month \(m\). Our main coefficient of interest is
\(\gamma_1\), which measures the effect of Chinese pollution in year \(y\) and month \(m\) on mortality in
South Korean district \(k\) that is exposed to Asian dust of frequency \(D_{k,ym}\), conditional on the
direct effects of \(D_{k,ym}\) and \(CP_{ym}\), respectively, along with the included controls described
below. The parameter we estimate measures therefore the additional mortality in district \(k\)
that is caused by Chinese pollution embodied in the Asian dust occurrence \(D_{k,ym}\), over and
above the direct effects of Asian dust and Chinese pollution, respectively.

To absorb variation in locally generated pollution and to account for other unobserved
heterogeneity across districts and time, we include district-year fixed effects \(\phi_{k,y}\) and
province-specific month (of the year) fixed effects \(\psi_{p(k),m}\) (where \(p(k)\) indicates one of the
16 provinces to which district \(k\) belongs). The former accounts for district-year characteristics such as district GDP and year-average air quality as well as mortality, whereas
the latter takes into consideration the seasonality of deaths and other season-specific shocks.
As for the month fixed effects, rather than imposing common month effects on all districts,
we allow province-specific month effects $\psi_{p(k),m}$ for districts inside province $p$. These effects capture the seasonality in economic activities as well as deaths that may vary across different regions.

In addition, we account for the effect of weather in a flexible functional form as weather conditions are known to be important in determining mortality (see, e.g., Deschênes and Greenstone, 2011). In particular, we control for $W_{k,ym}$, a vector that includes a cubic polynomial of local climatic conditions, including average, maximum, and minimum temperatures; precipitation; and mean and maximum wind speeds. We also use fourth-order and fifth-order polynomials for robustness checks.

To absorb additional local variation over and beyond what is accounted for by the fixed effects and the included controls, we further control for $X_{k,ym}$, a vector that includes district-year-month level (log) exports to the world and a province-year-month level energy production index. Finally, to ensure that $\gamma_1$, our main coefficient of interest, captures the effect of Chinese pollution transported and distributed by Asian dust, without convolution with potential interaction effects of Asian dust and locally generated pollution, we also include the interaction of $X_{k,ym}$ with Asian dust in our estimation.

Our strategy relies on the fact that Asian dust is a meteorological phenomenon that is exogenous to South Korea and affects different districts at different times depending on wind patterns underlying it. In Appendix Table A1, we examine the correlation between Asian dust and local economic activities across district-time cells. As mentioned above, district-monthly economic variables are extremely rare but we obtained data on district-monthly exports to China and to the world, respectively. As shown in the table, district-specific incidence of Asian dust is orthogonal to district-specific local activities (proxied by exports), in particular
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11 District-specific (as opposed to South Korea overall) economic variables are typically available at the year level only, the effects of which are already accounted for by district-year fixed effects, $\phi_{k,y}$. The vector $X_{k,ym}$ hence accounts for the additional effects of region-specific monthly economic activity over and beyond the effects of the fixed effects as well as the included controls.
when we control for the climatic conditions, which is reassuring. In all regressions, we cluster standard errors at the district level.\footnote{As reported in the appendix, our results are robust to Conley (1999) standard errors.}

4. Results

4.1 Main results

In all the tables below, we divide China’s pollution measure by 12 (one standard deviation in the sample, see Table 1) so that the coefficient can be interpreted as the impact of a one standard deviation increase in China’s pollution.

Table 2 reports the impacts on mortality rates from respiratory and cardiovascular diseases. According to column (1), in which Chinese pollution is not accounted for, Asian dust increases respiratory and cardiovascular mortality rates in South Korea, consistent with the findings in the public health literature focusing on the mortality effects of Asian dust \textit{per se} (see e.g., Kwon et al. 2002; Lee et al. 2007; Chan and Ng 2011; Kashima et al. 2012; Lee et al., 2013, 2014). Columns (2) and (3) demonstrate a positive association between China’s pollution and respiratory and cardiovascular mortality rates in South Korea. Interestingly, when conditioning on both Chinese pollution and Asian dust (column 3), the impact of Asian dust on mortality halves in magnitude, and becomes insignificant. This is first indication that mortality effects of Asian dust are related to it transporting pollutants from China.

Columns (4)-(7) present the results of our main specification, where conditional on the direct effects of Asian dust and China’s pollution, the interaction effect between Asian dust and China’s pollution is estimated. The coefficient thus measures the effect of district specific exposure to Chinese pollution induced by unpredictable district level occurrence of Asian dust (as the winds underlying Asian dust pass over mainland China and reach South
The estimates in column (4), where we condition on district-year fixed effects and province-month fixed effects, show that the interaction of Asian dust and Chinese pollution increases the respiratory and cardiovascular mortality in affected South Korean districts. In the next columns, we add additional controls. Column (5) adds weather controls (a cubic polynomial of temperature, rainfall and wind speed measures), while column (6) adds controls for local production (energy and export). Column (7) allows for the effects of local production to vary with Asian dust. Overall, the estimates on the variable $D_{k,ym} \times CP_{ym}$ are stable across specifications, suggesting that these additional controls are only mildly correlated with the interaction between district specific occurrence of Asian dust and China’s pollution.

As for the magnitude of the effect, consider for instance the estimate in column (7). It suggests that at the mean incidence of Asian dust in a month (about one day), if China’s average AQI increases by 12 (one standard deviation in the sample), respiratory and cardiovascular mortality rates in that district increase by 0.040 per 100,000 in that month, which is about 0.33% of the mean (12.23 per 100,000, see Table 1). In absolute numbers, 0.040 more deaths per 100K individuals implies 20 more deaths per month, i.e., around 2,400 extra deaths over ten years (based on a population of about 50 million in South Korea). Note that this is an estimate of China’s impact on South Korea that operates via Asian dust, which itself is a rare event. Hence, it is likely a lower bound of the overall effect of China’s pollution on South Korean mortality. We further discuss the magnitude of our findings in comparison to other studies below.

Columns (8)-(9) of Table 2 present two placebo tests. Specifically, we do not expect to see deaths from cancers or accidents respond to the short-run variation in pollution. As shown, mortality rates from cancers and accidents are not affected by the interaction between Asian dust and China’s pollution.
4.2 Impacts across Age Groups

Next, we investigate the mortality impacts by different age groups, as some groups may be particularly vulnerable to pollution. We present results in Table 3, where for the population aged 15 and above, we focus on respiratory and cardiovascular mortality rates, as in the previous section. For infants and young children, we consider all internal causes of deaths together (as there are few deaths for each specific cause for this age group).

Columns (1)-(4) present the results on respiratory and cardiovascular mortality rates for different age groups: 15-34, 35-54, 55-74, and 75 and above. In all specifications, we condition on the full set of controls, corresponding to column (7) in Table 2. The estimates illustrate that our findings (in Table 2) on overall mortality are mainly driven by the elderly: The coefficient size is the largest for those aged 75 and above, but the impact on those aged 55-74 are also sizable. Evaluated at the mean mortality rates for the different age groups (see Table 1) and at the mean incidence of Asian dust (one day in a month), if China’s average AQI increases by 12, the respiratory and cardiovascular mortality rates in South Korea increase by 0.104 and 0.682 per 100K for those aged 55-74 and aged 75+, respectively, around 0.55% of the mean levels in both cases. In contrast, we do not find a significant effect on those aged between 15 and 54 (columns (1)-(2)).

Column (5) of Table 3 presents the impact on infant mortality. Although it is not precisely estimated, the magnitude is large. Conditional on a dust day in a month, if China’s average AQI increases by 12, infant mortality rates in South Korea increases by 0.414 per 100K, around 1.09% of the mean level. Column (6) presents the impact on the under 5 mortality. The impact is 0.109 per 100K, around 1.29% of the mean level. These results suggest that very young children are particularly vulnerable to pollution, consistent with prior research focusing on infant mortality in developing countries such as Jayachandran (2009), Tanaka (2014) and Arceo et al. (2016).
4.3 The Impact on Pollutants

To explore the possible channels by which dust-induced Chinese pollution affects South Korean mortality, we now examine the effect of $D_{k,ym} \times CP_{ym}$ on the concentration of different pollutants. Although numerous minerals and toxic elements could be responsible (see discussion in Section 2.1), we are limited to examining the effects through common pollutants such as $SO_2, NO_2, CO$, and ozone, whose level of concentration is routinely monitored by governments. The density of $PM_{10}$ is a criterion in the definition of Asian dust day (see footnote 8) and is by definition highly correlated with the dust incidence.\textsuperscript{13}

We display in Table 4 the impact of Asian dust, China pollution, and the interaction between the two, on district-level $SO_2, NO_2, CO$, and ozone concentrations. The specifications we estimate are similar to equation (1), where we replace the mortality with pollution concentration. In columns 1,3,5,7 we condition on Asian dust only, while in columns 2, 4, 6, and 8 we condition on Asian dust, China pollution, and their interaction (and the interaction of Asian dust with energy production and export).

Estimates in column (1) of Table 4 show that Asian dust occurrence is highly correlated with the level of $SO_2$. The estimate indicates that one extra day of Asian dust in a month increases the concentration of $SO_2$ by 0.203, about 1.80% of the mean level. As emphasised by Choi et al. (2001), Asian dust should be free of such pollutants, unless it has been exposed to man-made pollution on its way to South Korea. In column (2), we attempt to isolate the influence of Chinese pollution that operates via Asian dust, conditioning on the direct effects of Chinese pollution and Asian dust, respectively. The estimates suggest that $SO_2$ is increased by $D_{k,ym} \times CP_{ym}$, conditional on $D_{k,ym}$ and $CP_{ym}$ as well as an extensive list of local controls. Specifically, conditional on a dust day, if China’s average AQI increases

\textsuperscript{13} The sample mean of $PM_{10}$ concentration is 66.93. In a regression of $PM_{10}$ on Asian dust with the same set of controls as column 1 of Table 4, the estimated coefficient on Asian dust is 5.69 with standard error of 0.09. This says that a one extra day of Asian dust in a month elevates the concentration of $PM_{10}$ by 8.5 (5.74/66.93) percent of the mean.
by 12 (one standard deviation), \(SO_2\) concentration in South Korea increases by 0.132, around 1.17% of the mean level. Interestingly, the effect of Asian dust alone on \(SO_2\) now turns negative. This may be related to the fact that, if China is not polluted, the presence of strong winds that underlie the Asian dust may dissipate any local pollution by \(SO_2\), thus letting its level drop below the average. Columns (3)-(4) show a similar pattern with respect to \(NO_2\): conditional on a dust day, if China’s average AQI increases by 12, \(NO_2\) in South Korea also increases by 0.136, around 0.36% of the mean level.

In contrast, we do not find that the levels of \(CO\) or ozone are elevated by \(D_{k,ym} \times CP_{ym}\) (columns (5)-(8)). If anything, the levels of \(CO\) and ozone are slightly decreased. This is consistent with the existing scientific literature (see Nishikawa et al. 1991; Carmichael et al. 1996; Mori et al. 2003; Park et al. 2003; Lee et al. 2007) that emphasizes \(SO_X\) and \(NO_X\) as the major pollutants transported by Asian dust.

In section 4.1, our baseline analysis on mortality showed that at the mean incidence of Asian dust (roughly one day per month), if China’s average AQI increases by 12, respiratory and cardiovascular mortality rates among the general population in South Korea increase by 0.040, around 0.33% of the mean. The corresponding impact on infant mortality (see section 4.2) is around 1.09% of the mean (0.414/37.94 in Table 3). Since Asian dust is capable of bringing a variety of toxic materials at the same time (including those we cannot measure, see Section 2.1), we cannot isolate the dose-response relationship for a single pollutant. Keeping in mind therefore that any figure on the relationship between an Asian Dust induced pollutant and mortality will not be the isolated impact of that pollutant (as in some other work), but the combined impact of that pollutant and all other correlated pollutants (observed and unobserved) that are brought to a district by Asian dust, we can make some rough back-of-the-envelope calculation to compare the pollutant and mortality relationship with those found in other studies. According to the estimates in Tables 2-4, the implied elasticity of respiratory
and cardiovascular mortality with respect to $SO_2$ and $NO_2$ are 0.28 (0.33/1.17) and 0.92 (0.33/0.36), respectively. In the context of U.S., Anderson (2015) estimates the elasticity with respect to $NO_2$ to be around 0.10-0.18 for elderly (75+). Also, in the context of Germany, Luechinger (2014) estimates the elasticity of infant mortality with respect to $SO_2$ to be between 0.07 and 0.13, compared to our implied elasticity of 0.93 (1.09/1.17). Therefore, although larger due to correlation with other pollutants in our context, these figures are roughly in line with those found in studies that isolate the mortality effects of particular pollutants.

4.4 Additional Results and Robustness Checks

**The Lagged Impacts of Pollution Spillover**

Our baseline focuses on the concurrent effect of pollution spillover. It is possible that the lagged pollution spillover also affects mortality, where the link between lagged pollution spillover and current mortality rates can be positive or negative. On the one hand, there can be some persistent effect of pollution spillover, which is positive. On the other hand, if our finding is mainly driven by a harvesting effect (death displacement), we expect to see a negative correlation (i.e., a significant decrease in deaths after an initial surge). To see which effect is more important, we estimate the same regressions as in Table 2, but now include lagged Asian dust and lagged China’s pollution and their interaction. The time period is one month, and we add lags up to three months before the current month.

In Table 5, we present specifications with different sets of controls. The concurrent effect of Asian dust induced Chinese pollution is only slightly smaller than our estimates in Table 2. In addition, the one-month lag $D_{k,ym-1} \times CP_{ym-1}$ has likewise a positive impact on respiratory and cardiovascular deaths in month $m$ (second row) and the magnitude is similar to that using concurrent impact (as displayed in Table 2), while further lags beyond the
second month are smaller and insignificant throughout. Overall, the results in Table 5 suggest that possible harvesting effect, if present, are likely dominated by the persistent effect of pollution beyond the first month. This in turn implies that the contemporaneous effects we estimate in Table 2 are likely a conservative estimate of the total effect.

**Examining Spillovers within South Korea**

Although we have interpreted the coefficient on $D_{k,ym} \times CP_{ym}$ as the effect of exposure to China’s pollution via Asian dust, if the latter also facilitates pollution spillover within Korea, we might be overstating the impact of China. For instance, Asian dust storms (which blow from west to east) may transport not only China’s pollution but also pollution from the northwest part of South Korea to other South Korean districts potentially. We conduct two checks to examine the importance of this concern.

First, we check whether pollution spillover within South Korea matters for our finding. We focus on the pollution-generating activities of the South Korean regions closest to China (Ring 1 in Figure 2), which include Seoul (South Korea’s capital) and Incheon (a major port), as well as the industrial complexes surrounding those cities. We restrict our sample to all districts outside Ring 1 and investigate how industrial activity in Ring 1 affects mortality in all other districts that have been affected by Asian dust. In other words, we estimate regressions similar to those in (1), where now—instead of China’s pollution—we use energy production in South Korea’s Ring 1 as a regressor.

In column (1) of Table 6, we first re-estimate our main specification (same specification as in column 7 in Table 2) in this subsample. The coefficient on the interaction of Asian dust and Chinese pollution is 0.055, which serves as our benchmark. Next, in column (2), we estimate a variant of equation (1) that includes the mean energy production

---

14 The p-values for the joint significance of the coefficients on $D_{k,ym} \times CP_{ym}$ and $D_{k,ym-1} \times CP_{ym-1}$ are 0.04, 0.06, 0.07, and 0.07 in columns 1, 2, 3, and 4 of Table 5, respectively.
index among districts in Ring 1 and its interaction with Asian dust. The results indicate no significant interaction effect of Ring 1’s energy production and Asian dust. In column (3), we include both $D_{k,ym} \times CP_{ym}$ and the interaction effect of Ring 1’s energy production and Asian dust. The coefficient on $D_{k,ym} \times CP_{ym}$ is 0.065, which is, if anything, slightly larger than in column (1). This shows that our main estimate of $D_{k,ym} \times CP_{ym}$ is indeed picking up the effect of Chinese pollution transported via Asian dust and rather than the effect of South Korea’s own pollution potentially redistributed by Asian dust.

Second, to internalize any possible redistribution of pollution within South Korea—irrespective of China’s impact—we estimate a specification in which the entire South Korea is treated as a single region. Obviously, as we now pool all districts, we lose a lot of variation. As shown in column (4) of Table 6, the effect size for South Korea as a single region is comparable to the estimates from the district-month level analysis in Table 2, although estimates are less precise. The fact that we continue to find a positive and significant interaction effect of Chinese pollution and Asian dust in this aggregate data suggests that our baseline findings from district-month level analysis are unlikely due to redistribution of South Korea’s own local pollution via Asian dust.

Measures of China’s Pollution and Specification Tests

As our baseline measure of China’s pollution condition, we use the monthly mean of city-daily observations of AQI in China. To check the robustness of our findings, we use three alternative ways to aggregate the AQI. The first is the monthly mean of AQI weighted by distance to South Korea. Specifically, for each of the 120 Chinese cities with AQI information, we calculate its nearest distance to South Korea and weight the AQI by the inverse distance. The second is the monthly mean of AQI among provincial capitals only.

15 Besides Ring 1’s energy production, own district’s local production (energy and export) and its interaction with Asian dust are always accounted for in Table 6.
The data for the province capitals have been consistently available throughout the sample period whereas the data for other smaller cities were added later in the sample. Therefore, focusing on the capital cities allows us to verify that our findings are not driven by the variation in information availability within China. Lastly, instead of taking the arithmetic mean of AQI, we count the monthly share of “polluted” cities in China, i.e., the share of city-daily AQI’s that are above the sample mean. This allows us to classify the data into relatively clean versus relatively polluted periods within our sample, which does not rely on the absolute “level” of AQI.

The results are reported in Table 7. In all columns except for column 4 (where the “share” measure is used), the Chinese pollution measure is divided by its standard deviation so that the coefficient can be interpreted as a response to a one standard deviation increase in the relevant measure. Column 1 repeats our baseline estimates (column 7 in Table 2). The results using weighted AQI and information from provincial capitals are similar to our baseline estimates (Columns (2)-(3) of Table 7). Column 4 shows the estimate based on the “share” of city-daily AQI above the sample mean. To compare with other columns, note that a one standard deviation increase in the mean-based measure translates into roughly 0.34 percentage point increase in the share-based measure.\(^{16}\) Multiplying the coefficient 0.124 in column 4 by 0.34, we obtain 0.042, which is comparable to the effect size in columns 1-3, and our estimates in Table 2. Overall, our results are robust to different ways of aggregating China’s pollution.

In addition, we conduct several specification tests. In particular, we check whether our interaction effect, \(D_{k,ym} \times CP_{ym}\), might capture the nonlinear effects of China’s pollution on South Korea. Columns 5-6 present the results after controlling for a higher order

\(^{16}\) In the normal distribution, a probability mass of 0.68 is within one standard deviation on either side of the mean. If we increase the AQI for every city-day in that month by one standard deviation, 0.34 share will cross over to the right side of the mean, leading to an increase by 0.34 in our “share” measure.
polynomial of China’s pollution (3\textsuperscript{rd} and 5\textsuperscript{th}). If anything, the effect size becomes larger, which further indicates that our baseline estimates (Table 2) are not overstating China’s impact on South Korean mortality and provide a lower bound on China’s impact on South Korea.

\textit{Additional Checks}

In our analysis so far, all the standard errors are clustered at the district level. As a robustness check, we employ Conley (1999) standard errors to allow for spatial dependence beyond own districts. We follow the codes of Hsiang (2010) and report results based on a distance cutoff of 60 KM (as implied by the distance to the first nearest neighbors in our data), 120 KM, and 180 KM, respectively. As shown in columns (1)-(3) of Table A2, standard errors are slightly larger than the estimates in Table 2 but the coefficients remain statistically significant. Our findings are also robust to excluding the three summer months where there is no Asian dust (column (4)). Further, they are robust to including the fourth-order and fifth-order polynomials of weather conditions instead of a cubic polynomial (columns (5)-(6)).

In our baseline, we focus on district-month level analysis for two reasons. First, the monthly approach allows us to account for potential confounding factors for which information is available only at the monthly level, such as the impact of local production. Second, one month is a sufficiently wide window to internalize the possible day-to-day forward shifting of deaths. To understand the timing of mortality response and to ensure that our unit of analysis (one month) is reasonable, we conduct a moving-average analysis based on day-level data. We vary window size from 5 days up to 60 days. For example, for the five-day window at day t, we calculate the moving average over the days t-4, t-3, t-2, t-1, and t for each of the main variables (i.e., mortality rates, number of dust days, and China’s mean AQI). Appendix Table A3 shows that for window sizes of 5 days or 15 days, the interaction effect
of Asian dust and Chinese pollution is small and insignificant, suggesting that mortality response takes time. Column 3 of Appendix Table A3, which uses a 30-day window, shows that at the mean incidence of Asian dust (one day per month or 1/30 per day), if China’s average AQI increases by one standard deviation, the respiratory and cardiovascular mortality rates in South Korea increases by 0.028/30 per 100K, around 0.23% of the mean levels (0.406), which is similar to our baseline estimate at the district-monthly level, 0.33% (0.040/12.23, see Table 2). We also find that the effect size does not decrease as we expand the window size to 45-day and 60-day, suggesting that our findings in the district-monthly analysis are unlikely to be driven by a harvesting effect (a short term forward shifting of deaths).

**Results Using Winds**

Based on Asian dust, we focus on establishing a causal link from Chinese pollution to South Korean mortality. The key advantage of using Asian dust (interacted with pollution condition in China) is that it gives us quasi-random variation in district-specific exposure to Chinese pollution (and its by-products). Asian dust, however, is a rare natural phenomenon, occurring only around one day a month. Therefore, while conducive to establishing a causal effect of Chinese pollution on South Korea—which is the main objective of this paper—estimates based on (district-time variation in) Asian dust are likely to be far lower than the overall impact of Chinese pollution on South Korea.

An alternative would be to use strong westerly winds blowing over China, which could potentially transport Chinese pollution over to South Korea. Note that unlike Asian dust that gives us both spatial and temporal variation within South Korea, winds blowing over China provide time-series variation only. Nevertheless, to benchmark our findings, we compare the effect of Asian dust as a carrier with that of strong westerly winds blowing over
China toward the Korean peninsula.\textsuperscript{17} We define strong winds variously as winds with a speed over the 75th or 90th percentile on the distribution of wind speed in the sample, and west winds as those with a number larger than 180 degrees in the cardinal measure of wind directions. We then count for each month the number of days with strong westerly winds and examine the impact of their interaction with China’s pollution.

The results are reported in Table 8. Column 1 shows at the mean occurrence of westerly winds with speed above the 75th percentile (5.31 days per month), if China’s average AQI increases by 12, respiratory and cardiovascular mortality rates in South Korea increases by 0.085 (0.016*5.31), around 0.69% of the mean. Based on westerly winds with wind speed above the 90th percentile (occurring 2.18 days per month), the corresponding effect size is 0.118 (0.054*2.18), around 0.96% of the mean (columns (2) of Table 8). Similar to the findings on pollutants in Table 4, we find that $SO_2$ and $NO_2$ are also elevated by strong westerly winds (columns (3)-(6) of Table 8). These estimates, although to be assessed with care, as they rely on a far less rigorous identification design and on coarse time-series variations only, are about two to three times as large as the spillover effect operating via Asian dust. This suggests that the total effect of Chinese pollution on South Korean mortality is likely greater than the effect we isolate here based on the specific variation in Asian dust.

5. Discussion and Conclusion

In this paper, we exploit within South Korea and over time variation in Asian dust, a meteorological phenomenon exogenous to South Korea, to establish a causal link between China’s air pollution and South Korean mortality. In particular, we examine how a given dust

\textsuperscript{17} From the perspective of South Korea, time-series variation in winds (blowing over China) is much “cruder” than the within-Korea variation in the incidence of Asian dust. Nonetheless, the time-series variation we obtain from the wind data is likely informative in this context because the surface area of China is much larger than that of South Korea. If, on the contrary, China and South Korea were of equal sizes or if South Korea were larger than China, crude wind patterns over China alone will be insufficient in determining China’s influence on the air quality in South Korea.
incidence in a district might differentially affect mortality depending on whether China happens to be more (or less) polluted at that time.

Our findings, based on combined data sources from China, South Korea, and the U.S., suggest that, conditional on Asian dust, China’s pollution significantly increases South Korean deaths from respiratory and cardiovascular diseases—the diseases most sensitive to air pollution—but not deaths from cancers or accidents. In particular, we find that the mortality effects are largely concentrated on children under five and the elderly. Our conservative estimates show that at the mean incidence of Asian dust, if China’s average AQI increases by 12 (one standard deviation in the sample), respiratory and cardiovascular mortality rates in South Korea increase by 0.040 per 100,000 or 0.33% of the mean. We conduct an extensive set of robustness checks and across all cases, China’s pollution matters for South Korean mortality. The significance and magnitude of our findings thus shed some light on the ongoing political debate between China and South Korea.

Asian dust itself is a natural phenomenon which has been present all the time in this region. Thus, our analysis did not use the no-Asian dust scenario as the counterfactual. Rather, our analysis focused on using variation in China’s pollution while allowing for the direct effect of Asian dust occurrence on South Korea. Therefore, the spillover effect presented in this study has clear policy implications, since China’s pollution is what the government of China can directly influence through its economic policies and regulations. One way to interpret the significance of our findings is to consider policy changes in China that affect China’s air quality. China has been using energy saving policies to reduce pollution during the period. Zheng et al. (2015) show that this policy reduces mean AQI by about 5. Had China continued to pollute as much as before those regulations, the impact of Chinese pollution on South Korean mortality that operates via Asian dust would have been around 1,200 extra deaths from respiratory and cardiovascular diseases during the period of
2000-2011. Given that mortality we focused on here is just one of the many possible consequences of pollution, this comparison suggests that an environmental policy change in China can have impacts that reach beyond China due to cross-border spillovers.
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Figure 1: Trends in Emission Quantities in China, South Korea and Japan, 1970-2008

Figure 2: Topography and Asian Dust Stations in South Korea

Notes: Panel (a) maps the 28 stations where there is information on the daily incidence of Asian Dust. The concentric circles indicate different “rings” that divide South Korean regions based on their distance from Beijing (1000 KM, 1050 KM, …, 1200 KM). Panel (b) shows that South Korea has a rich topography, which, together with idiosyncratic wind patterns, produces wide variations in the incidence of Asian dust.
Figure 3: Examples of Asian Dust Variations in South Korea

(a) March 2000       (b) March 2003       (c) March 2010

Notes: This figure plots the distribution of dust days across dust stations in March 2000, March 2003 and March 2010. It illustrates that the incidence of Asian Dust varies significantly across regions and years.
Figure 4: Monthly Variation in Asian dust, Chinese Pollution and Mortality

Notes: This figure illustrates the monthly variation in different variables in the district-monthly data.
Figure 5: Wind Speed, Wind Direction and Asian Dust

(a) Wind speed and Asian Dust

(b) Wind direction and Asian Dust

Notes: Panel (a) shows that wind speed is higher on dust days. Panel (b) shows that the wind direction over China is more likely to be from east to west (with a degree larger than 180) on dust days.
Table 1: Summary Statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std Dev.</th>
<th>Obs.</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dust (Korea)</strong></td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>32248</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mean AQI (China)</strong></td>
<td>73.7</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>32248</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mortality rates (Korea, per 100K)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory and cardiovascular (all)</td>
<td>12.23</td>
<td>8.27</td>
<td>29464</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory and cardiovascular (15-34)</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>29464</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory and cardiovascular (35-54)</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>4.26</td>
<td>29464</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory and cardiovascular (55-74)</td>
<td>18.91</td>
<td>12.06</td>
<td>29464</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory and cardiovascular (75+)</td>
<td>148.22</td>
<td>70.61</td>
<td>29464</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancers</td>
<td>16.30</td>
<td>9.32</td>
<td>29464</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accidents</td>
<td>4.21</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>29464</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All internal causes for infants</td>
<td>37.94</td>
<td>92.01</td>
<td>29464</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All internal causes for children under 5</td>
<td>8.44</td>
<td>17.80</td>
<td>29464</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pollutant Concentration (Korea)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM10 (µg/m³)</td>
<td>66.93</td>
<td>25.11</td>
<td>27628</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO2 (ppb)</td>
<td>11.29</td>
<td>6.97</td>
<td>27759</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO2 (ppb)</td>
<td>37.91</td>
<td>13.59</td>
<td>27772</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO (ppm)</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>27734</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ozone (ppb)</td>
<td>43.15</td>
<td>74.58</td>
<td>27773</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Local Weather Conditions (Korea)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rainfall (mm)/100</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>31680</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean temperature (°C)</td>
<td>13.23</td>
<td>9.19</td>
<td>31692</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max temperature (°C)</td>
<td>24.73</td>
<td>8.01</td>
<td>31692</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min temperature (°C)</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>10.83</td>
<td>31692</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean wind speed (m/s)</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>31692</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max wind speed (m/s)</td>
<td>9.02</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>31692</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Local Production (Korea)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ln Export (to the world)</td>
<td>16.17</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>31399</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy production index</td>
<td>74.50</td>
<td>37.32</td>
<td>32138</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Westerly Winds (China)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># days w. speed over the 75th percentile</td>
<td>5.31</td>
<td>6.93</td>
<td>32248</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># days w. speed over the 90th percentile</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td>32248</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: The Impact of Dust*China’s Pollution on Mortality Rates in South Korea

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mean Dependent Var.</th>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
<th>(4)</th>
<th>(5)</th>
<th>(6)</th>
<th>(7)</th>
<th>(8)</th>
<th>(9)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>Placebo Tests</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mortality rates: Respiratory and Cardiovascular</td>
<td>Cancers</td>
<td>Accidents</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#Dust*China’s Mean AQI</td>
<td>0.038*** (0.016)</td>
<td>0.033* (0.018)</td>
<td>0.043** (0.018)</td>
<td>0.040** (0.019)</td>
<td>-0.008 (0.020)</td>
<td>0.007 (0.009)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#Dust</td>
<td>0.076*** (0.025)</td>
<td>0.039 (0.032)</td>
<td>-0.251* (0.131)</td>
<td>-0.214 (0.142)</td>
<td>-0.313** (0.149)</td>
<td>0.072 (0.240)</td>
<td>0.525* (0.275)</td>
<td>-0.102 (0.179)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China’s Mean AQI</td>
<td>0.265*** (0.081)</td>
<td>0.193* (0.104)</td>
<td>0.117 (0.105)</td>
<td>0.138 (0.107)</td>
<td>0.202* (0.110)</td>
<td>0.200* (0.111)</td>
<td>-0.080 (0.121)</td>
<td>0.005 (0.060)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District FE*Year FE</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Province FE*Month FE</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weather (cubic polynomial)</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Prod (export, energy)</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Prod*Dust</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-squared</td>
<td>0.695</td>
<td>0.695</td>
<td>0.695</td>
<td>0.696</td>
<td>0.703</td>
<td>0.717</td>
<td>0.717</td>
<td>0.718</td>
<td>0.473</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: This table shows that #Dust*China’s Mean AQI increases the mortality rates from respiratory and cardiovascular diseases but does not affect those from cancers or accidents. #Dust is the number of dust days in a district-month in Korea. China’s Mean AQI is divided by one standard deviation (12) so that the coefficient can be interpreted as the impact of an increase of 12 in China’s AQI. Weather conditions include a cubic polynomial of the six weather variables listed in Table 1. Local production includes district-month export and province-month energy production. Standard errors are clustered at the district level. Significance levels: *** 1%, ** 5%, *** 10%. 
Table 3: The Impact of Dust*China’s Pollution on Mortality Rates in South Korea by Age Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Groups</th>
<th>(1) 15-34</th>
<th>(2) 35-54</th>
<th>(3) 55-74</th>
<th>(4) 75+</th>
<th>(5) Infant</th>
<th>(6) Children under 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory and Cardiovascular Mean Dependent Var.</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>18.91</td>
<td>148.22</td>
<td>37.94</td>
<td>8.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#Dust*China’s Mean AQI</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.104**</td>
<td>0.682***</td>
<td>0.414</td>
<td>0.109*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.007)</td>
<td>(0.015)</td>
<td>(0.043)</td>
<td>(0.249)</td>
<td>(0.272)</td>
<td>(0.058)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#Dust</td>
<td>-0.036</td>
<td>-0.206</td>
<td>-0.655</td>
<td>-3.367</td>
<td>-8.355**</td>
<td>-1.784**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.089)</td>
<td>(0.171)</td>
<td>(0.545)</td>
<td>(2.742)</td>
<td>(3.977)</td>
<td>(0.776)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China’s Mean AQI</td>
<td>-0.025</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>0.572**</td>
<td>2.158</td>
<td>1.725</td>
<td>0.331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.047)</td>
<td>(0.095)</td>
<td>(0.247)</td>
<td>(1.391)</td>
<td>(1.694)</td>
<td>(0.331)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District FE*Year FE</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Province FE*Month FE</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weather (cubic polynomial)</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Prod (export, energy)</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Prod*Dust</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observations</td>
<td>28,024</td>
<td>28,024</td>
<td>28,024</td>
<td>28,024</td>
<td>28,024</td>
<td>28,024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-squared</td>
<td>0.118</td>
<td>0.180</td>
<td>0.324</td>
<td>0.351</td>
<td>0.119</td>
<td>0.114</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: This table shows that the elderly and very young children are particularly vulnerable to the pollution spillover. #Dust is the number of dust days in a district-month in Korea. China’s Mean AQI is divided by one standard deviation (12) so that the coefficient can be interpreted as the impact of an increase of 12 in China’s AQI. Weather conditions include a cubic polynomial of the six weather variables listed in Table 1. Local production includes district-month export and province-month energy production. Standard errors are clustered at the district level. Significance levels: *** 1%, **5%, **** 10%.
### Table 4: The Impact of Dust*China’s Pollution on Pollutants in South Korea

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
<th>(4)</th>
<th>(5)</th>
<th>(6)</th>
<th>(7)</th>
<th>(8)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean Dependent Var.</td>
<td>SO2</td>
<td>SO2</td>
<td>NO2</td>
<td>NO2</td>
<td>CO</td>
<td>CO</td>
<td>Ozone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11.29</td>
<td>11.29</td>
<td>37.91</td>
<td>37.91</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>43.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#Dust*China’s Mean AQI</td>
<td>0.132***</td>
<td>0.136***</td>
<td>-0.003***</td>
<td>-0.060</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.015)</td>
<td>(0.027)</td>
<td>(0.001)</td>
<td>(0.045)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China’s Mean AQI</td>
<td>0.628***</td>
<td>1.441***</td>
<td>0.082***</td>
<td>3.077***</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.102)</td>
<td>(0.203)</td>
<td>(0.009)</td>
<td>(0.924)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#Dust</td>
<td>0.203***</td>
<td>-0.966***</td>
<td>0.091**</td>
<td>-2.313***</td>
<td>0.006**</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>-1.230*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.021)</td>
<td>(0.141)</td>
<td>(0.046)</td>
<td>(0.345)</td>
<td>(0.001)</td>
<td>(0.012)</td>
<td>(0.694)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District FE*Year FE</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Province FE*Month FE</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weather (cubic polynomial)</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Prod (export, energy)</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Prod*Dust</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observations</td>
<td>27,759</td>
<td>27,759</td>
<td>27,772</td>
<td>27,772</td>
<td>27,734</td>
<td>27,734</td>
<td>27,773</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-squared</td>
<td>0.741</td>
<td>0.744</td>
<td>0.732</td>
<td>0.734</td>
<td>0.737</td>
<td>0.739</td>
<td>0.148</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** This table shows that #Dust*China’s Mean AQI increases SO2 and NO2 in South Korea. #Dust is the number of dust days in a district-month in Korea. China’s Mean AQI is divided by one standard deviation (12) so that the coefficient can be interpreted as the impact of an increase of 12 in China’s AQI. Weather conditions include a cubic polynomial of the six weather variables listed in Table 1. Local production includes district-month export and province-month energy production. Standard errors are clustered at the district level. Significance levels: ***1%, **5%, ****10%. 
## Table 5: The Impacts of Lagged Spillover on Mortality

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mortality Rates</th>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
<th>(4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>#Dust*China’s Mean AQI</td>
<td>0.035** (0.017)</td>
<td>0.029 (0.019)</td>
<td>0.035* (0.019)</td>
<td>0.034* (0.020)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L.#Dust*L.China’s Mean AQI</td>
<td>0.027 (0.017)</td>
<td>0.033** (0.017)</td>
<td>0.027* (0.016)</td>
<td>0.028* (0.016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2.#Dust*L2.China’s Mean AQ</td>
<td>-0.017 (0.017)</td>
<td>-0.018 (0.018)</td>
<td>-0.019 (0.018)</td>
<td>-0.019 (0.018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3.#Dust*L3.China’s Mean AQ</td>
<td>0.004 (0.017)</td>
<td>-0.002 (0.018)</td>
<td>-0.005 (0.018)</td>
<td>-0.005 (0.018)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| China’s Mean AQI | 0.093 (0.109) | 0.110 (0.109) | 0.177 (0.113) | 0.184 (0.114) |
| #Dust | -0.237* (0.137) | -0.191 (0.150) | -0.264* (0.155) | 0.087 (0.245) |
| L.China’s Mean AQI | -0.042 (0.120) | 0.062 (0.131) | 0.164 (0.119) | 0.171 (0.120) |
| L.#Dust | -0.173 (0.135) | -0.250* (0.130) | -0.221* (0.128) | -0.231* (0.129) |
| L2.China’s Mean AQI | -0.073 (0.107) | -0.087 (0.111) | -0.121 (0.112) | -0.126 (0.112) |
| L2.#Dust | 0.132 (0.136) | 0.153 (0.140) | 0.164 (0.141) | 0.166 (0.141) |
| L3.China’s Mean AQI | 0.055 (0.110) | -0.003 (0.113) | 0.024 (0.114) | 0.029 (0.114) |
| L3.#Dust | -0.050 (0.144) | 0.034 (0.146) | 0.051 (0.140) | 0.051 (0.140) |

| District FE*Year FE | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| Province FE*Month FE | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| Weather (cubic polynomial) | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| Local Prod (export, energy) | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| Local Prod*Dust | Y | Y | Y | Y |

| Observations | 28,768 | 28,268 | 27,370 | 27,370 |
| R-squared | 0.695 | 0.703 | 0.717 | 0.717 |

**Notes:** This table shows that the interaction of China’s pollution and dust in the previous month also matters for respiratory and cardiovascular mortality rates. #Dust is the number of dust days in a district-month in Korea. China’s Mean AQI is divided by one standard deviation (12) so that the coefficient can be interpreted as the impact of an increase of 12 in China’s AQI. Weather conditions include a cubic polynomial of the six weather variables listed in Table 1. Local production includes district-month export and province-month energy production. Standard errors are clustered at the district level. Significance levels: ***1%, **5%, ****10%.
Table 6: Checking the Role of Pollution Spillover within South Korea

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(1) Spillover within Korea</th>
<th>(2) Korea as one region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>#Dust*China’s Mean AQI</td>
<td>0.055*</td>
<td>0.065**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.028)</td>
<td>(0.029)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China’s Mean AQI</td>
<td>0.239*</td>
<td>0.312**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.144)</td>
<td>(0.148)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#Dust*Korea Ring 1’s Energy Production</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.002)</td>
<td>(0.002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea Ring 1’s Energy Production</td>
<td>0.047***</td>
<td>0.051***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.009)</td>
<td>(0.009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#Dust</td>
<td>-0.089</td>
<td>0.426**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.332)</td>
<td>(0.196)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District FE*Year FE</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Province FE*Month FE</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weather (cubic polynomial)</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Production (export, energy)</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Prod*Dust</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observations</td>
<td>20,999</td>
<td>20,999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-squared</td>
<td>0.673</td>
<td>0.674</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: This table shows that our main finding is unlikely to be driven by spillover within Korea. Korea Ring 1’s Energy Production indicates the energy production in the first ring in the west of Figure 2(a). #Dust is the number of dust days in a district-month in Korea. China’s Mean AQI is divided by one standard deviation (12) so that the coefficient can be interpreted as the impact of an increase of 12 in China’s AQI. Weather conditions include a cubic polynomial of the six weather variables listed in Table 1. Local production includes district-month export and province-month energy production. Standard errors in columns (1)-(3) are clustered at the district level. Significance levels: *** 1%, **5%, **** 10%.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure of China’s Pollution</th>
<th>(1) Mean AQI</th>
<th>(2) Weighted AQI</th>
<th>(3) Prov. Capital AQI above Mean</th>
<th>(4) Share</th>
<th>(5) Mean AQI</th>
<th>(6) Mean AQI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>#Dust*China’s Pollution</td>
<td>0.040**</td>
<td>0.033*</td>
<td>0.041**</td>
<td>0.124***</td>
<td>0.095**</td>
<td>0.073**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.019)</td>
<td>(0.019)</td>
<td>(0.020)</td>
<td>(0.032)</td>
<td>(0.030)</td>
<td>(0.032)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#Dust</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>0.158</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>-0.151</td>
<td>-0.348</td>
<td>-0.194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.240)</td>
<td>(0.242)</td>
<td>(0.238)</td>
<td>(0.227)</td>
<td>(0.308)</td>
<td>(0.305)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China’s Pollution</td>
<td>0.200*</td>
<td>0.116</td>
<td>0.374***</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.111)</td>
<td>(0.106)</td>
<td>(0.113)</td>
<td>(0.093)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District FE*Year FE</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prov FE*Month FE</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weather (cubic polynomial)</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Prod (export, energy)</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Prod*Dust</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher order polynomial of China’s Pollution</td>
<td>3rd</td>
<td>5th</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observations</td>
<td>28,024</td>
<td>28,024</td>
<td>28,024</td>
<td>28,024</td>
<td>28,024</td>
<td>28,024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-squared</td>
<td>0.717</td>
<td>0.717</td>
<td>0.717</td>
<td>0.717</td>
<td>0.717</td>
<td>0.717</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: This table reports the results using variants of China’s pollution measure. China’s pollution is divided by the standard deviation in all columns except for column 4 (which uses the monthly share of city-daily AQI above the sample mean). Columns 5-6 show that the results are robust to allowing nonlinear effects of China’s pollution. Weather conditions include a cubic polynomial of the six weather variables listed in Table 1. Local production includes district-month export and province-month energy production. Standard errors are clustered at the district level. Significance levels: *** 1%, **5%, **** 10%.
Table 8: Result Using Westerly Winds as a Carrier of China’s Pollution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mean Dependent Var.</th>
<th>Respir. and Cardio. mortality rates</th>
<th>SO2</th>
<th>SO2</th>
<th>NO2</th>
<th>NO2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>12.23</td>
<td>11.29</td>
<td>37.91</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#West Wind Days (spd&gt;p75)*China’s AQI</th>
<th>0.016** (0.007)</th>
<th>0.042*** (0.006)</th>
<th>0.014 (0.012)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>#West Wind Days (spd&gt;p90)*China’s AQI</td>
<td>0.054*** (0.011)</td>
<td>0.073*** (0.010)</td>
<td>0.072*** (0.022)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#West Wind Days (spd&gt;p75)</td>
<td>0.011 (0.067)</td>
<td>-0.285*** (0.051)</td>
<td>-0.357*** (0.116)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#West Wind Days (spd&gt;p90)</td>
<td>-0.307*** (0.111)</td>
<td>-0.449*** (0.080)</td>
<td>-0.833*** (0.213)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China’s Mean AQI</td>
<td>0.023 (0.124)</td>
<td>-0.040 (0.111)</td>
<td>0.387*** (0.117)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

District FE*Year FE  | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
Province FE*Month FE | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
Weather (cubic polynomial)  | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
Local Production (export, energy) | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
Local Prod*Winds | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y |
Observations  | 28,024 | 28,024 | 27,759 | 27,759 | 27,772 | 27,772 |
R-squared      | 0.717 | 0.717 | 0.744 | 0.744 | 0.734 | 0.734 |

Notes: This table reports the results using westerly winds as a carrier of China’s pollution. China’s Mean AQI is divided by one standard deviation (12) so that the coefficient can be interpreted as the impact of an increase of 12 in China’s AQI. Weather conditions include a cubic polynomial of the six weather variables listed in Table 1. Local production includes district-month export and province-month energy production. Standard errors are clustered at the district level. Significance levels: *** 1%, **5%, **** 10%.
APPENDIX

Figure A1: Dust Clouds Leaving China and Traveling toward Korea and Japan on March 21, 2001

Source: The SeaWiFS Project, NASA/Goddard Space Flight Center, and ORBIMAGE (http://visibleearth.nasa.gov/view_rec.php?id=1707)
Figure A2: Wind Directions on a Dust Day

Notes: This figure shows the wind directions on an Asian dust day, with winds able to come from various directions, making it challenging to determine pollution origins across China (Source: Korea Meteorological Administration).
Figure A3: Checking Pollution Data in China

Notes: This figure plots the year-on-year growth of our measure of China’s pollution vs. the year-on-year growth of industrial valued-added from the China Statistical Bureau. The positive correlation (with a coefficient of 0.56 and standard error of 0.01) suggests that our pollution measure captures part of the industrial production in China.
Table A1: Correlation between Asian Dust and Local Production

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
<th>(4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ln(Export to China)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ln(Export to world)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#Dust*100</td>
<td>0.206</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.375**</td>
<td>0.214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.281)</td>
<td>(0.297)</td>
<td>(0.188)</td>
<td>(0.186)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District FE*year FE</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Province FE*Month FE</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weather (cubic polynomial)</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observations</td>
<td>27,528</td>
<td>27,528</td>
<td>30,826</td>
<td>30,826</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-squared</td>
<td>0.947</td>
<td>0.948</td>
<td>0.970</td>
<td>0.970</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: This table shows that district-monthly Asian dust incidence is not significantly correlated with local production (proxied by exports). Weather conditions include a cubic polynomial of the six weather variables listed in Table 1. Standard errors are clustered at the district level. Significance levels: *** 1%, ** 5%, **** 10%.
Table A2: Results with Conley Standard Errors, Excluding Summer and Higher-Order Polynomials of Weather Conditions

(Dependent Var.: Reparatory and Cardiovascular Mortality Rates)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
<th>(4)</th>
<th>(5)</th>
<th>(6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conley Standard Errors</td>
<td>60KM</td>
<td>120KM</td>
<td>180KM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excluding Summer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher order of weather</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#Dust*China’s Mean AQI</td>
<td>0.040***</td>
<td>0.040**</td>
<td>0.040**</td>
<td>0.041**</td>
<td>0.040**</td>
<td>0.039**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.018)</td>
<td>(0.021)</td>
<td>(0.021)</td>
<td>(0.019)</td>
<td>(0.019)</td>
<td>(0.019)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District FE*Year FE</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Province FE*Month FE</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weather (cubic polynomial)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Prod (export, energy)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Prod*Dust</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th-order polynomial of weather</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5th-order polynomial of weather</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observations</td>
<td>28,024</td>
<td>28,024</td>
<td>28,024</td>
<td>20,743</td>
<td>28,024</td>
<td>28,024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-squared</td>
<td>0.717</td>
<td>0.717</td>
<td>0.717</td>
<td>0.730</td>
<td>0.717</td>
<td>0.717</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: This table shows that our baseline results in Table 2 are robust to using Conley (2009) standard errors (columns (1)-(3)), excluding summer months (column (4)), and including higher-order polynomials of local weather conditions in South Korea (columns (5)-(6)). #Dust is the number of dust days in a district-month in Korea. China’s Mean AQI is divided by one standard deviation (12) so that the coefficient can be interpreted as the impact of an increase of 12 in China’s AQI. Weather conditions include a cubic polynomial of the six weather variables listed in Table 1. Local production includes district-month export and province-month energy production. Standard errors in columns (4)-(6) are clustered at the district level. Significance levels: *** 1%, **5%, **** 10%.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Window (days)</th>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
<th>(4)</th>
<th>(5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.406</td>
<td>0.406</td>
<td>0.406</td>
<td>0.405</td>
<td>0.405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#Dust*China’s Mean AQI

(1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mean of Dependent Var.</th>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
<th>(4)</th>
<th>(5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.028*</td>
<td>0.032*</td>
<td>0.039*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>(0.006)</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China’s Mean AQI</td>
<td>0.008***</td>
<td>0.008***</td>
<td>0.012***</td>
<td>0.016***</td>
<td>0.016***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.002)</td>
<td>(0.003)</td>
<td>(0.002)</td>
<td>(0.003)</td>
<td>(0.003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#Dust</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>-0.032</td>
<td>-0.146</td>
<td>-0.150</td>
<td>-0.175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.034)</td>
<td>(0.076)</td>
<td>(0.088)</td>
<td>(0.105)</td>
<td>(0.124)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

R-squared

(1) (2) (3) (4) (5)

| R-squared | 0.263 | 0.517 | 0.680 | 0.761 | 0.810 |

Notes: This table reports results from moving-average analysis with windows ranging from 5 days to 60 days. China’s AQI is divided by standard deviation (17) of the daily AQI data. Standard errors are clustered at the district level. Significance levels: *** 1%, ** 5%, **** 10%.